



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P.O. BOX 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP  
P.O. BOX 10500  
MCLEAN, VA 22102

**COPY MAILED**

MAY 11 2005

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of :  
Masaki Kakihara, et al. :  
Application No. 09/819,827 :  
Filed: March 29, 2001 :  
Attorney Docket No. P 279165 TYF-9951 :

DECISION ON PETITION  
UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3)

This is a decision on the petition, filed December 1, 2004, under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) to accept an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 365(c) for the benefit of the prior-filed PCT application as set forth in the concurrently filed amendment.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

A petition for acceptance of a claim for late priority under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the petition is appropriate only after the expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii). In addition, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) must be accompanied by:

- (1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C. § 120 and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(i) of the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted;
- (2) the surcharge set forth in § 1.17(t); and
- (3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

The instant petition does not comply with item (1).

A reference to add the prior-filed application on page one following the first sentence of the specification has been included in an amendment filed on December 1, 2004. However, the amendment is not acceptable as drafted since it improperly incorporates by reference the prior-filed application. Petitioner's attention is directed to Dart Industries v. Banner, 636 F.2d 684, 207 USPQ 273 (C.A.D.C. 1980), where the court drew a distinction between a permissible 35 U.S.C. § 120

statement and the impermissible introduction of new matter by way of incorporation by reference in a 35 U.S.C. § 120 statement. The court specifically stated:

Section 120 merely provides a mechanism whereby an application becomes entitled to benefit of the filing date of an earlier application disclosing the same subject matter. Common subject matter must be disclosed, in both applications, either specifically or by an express incorporation-by-reference of prior disclosed subject matter. Nothing in section 120 itself operates to carry forward any disclosure from an earlier application. *In re deSeversky, supra* at 674, 177 USPQ at 146-147. Section 120 contains no magical disclosure-augmenting powers able to pierce new matter barriers. It cannot, therefore, "limit" the absolute and express prohibition against new matter contained in section 251.

In order for the incorporation by reference statement to be effective as a proper safeguard against the omission of a portion of a prior application, the incorporation by reference statement must be included in the specification-as-filed, or in an amendment specifically referred to in an oath or declaration executing the application. See *In re deSeversky, supra*. Note also MPEP 201.06(c).

Before the petition under 37 CFR §§ 1.78(a)(3) can be granted, a renewed petition under 37 CFR §1.78(a)(3) and a substitute amendment<sup>1</sup> deleting the incorporation by reference statement to PCT application No. PCT/JP99/05580 filed October 8, 1999 are required.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: Mail Stop PETITION  
Commissioner for Patents  
Post Office Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
Customer Service Window, Mail Stop PETITION  
Randolph Building  
401 Dulany Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314

The centralized facsimile number is (703) 872-9306.

---

<sup>1</sup> Note 37 CFR 1.121

Any questions concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3204.

*Sherry D. Brinkley*  
Sherry D. Brinkley  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions  
Office of the Deputy Commissioner  
for Patent Examination Policy

*Frances Hicks*  
Frances M. Hicks  
Lead, Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions  
Office of the Deputy Commissioner  
for Patent Examination Policy